

EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 1541 CUTTACK, MONDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2014/ASWINA 21, 1936

LABOUR & E. S. I. DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 26th September 2014

No. 7741—II/1-(SS)-09/03-LESI.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 13th August, 2014 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 18/2003 of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela to whom the industrial dispute between the Management of Steel Authority of India Ltd., Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela and their workmen Shri R. Mohanty & 7 others represented by General Secretary, Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha, Bisra Road, Rourkela-1 was referred for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ROURKELA

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 18 OF 2003

Dated the 13th August, 2014

Present:

Smt. V. Jayashree, o.s.J.s.(Sr. Branch) Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal,

Rourkela.

Between:

The General Manager (P&A) . . First Party—Management

SAIL, Rourkela Steel Plant,

Rourkela.

And

Shri R. Mohanty & 7 others represented by Gen. Secretary, Rourkela Mazdoor Sabha,

Bisra Road, Rourkela-1

Second Party—Workmen

Α	b	b	e	ai	ra	n	C	e	s	•

None ... For the First Party

None ... For the Second Party

AWARD

The Government of Odisha in Labour & Employment Department in exercise of their powers conferred under sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act have referred the following dispute Vide Order No. 3017— li/1(SS)-09/03/L.E., dated the 26th March 2003 for adjudication :—

"Whether denying promotional benefits to Shri R. Mohanty, Pl. No. 38278, A. Alli, Pl. No. 38279, R. N. Mallick, Pl. No. 38283, D. Naik, Pl. No. 38284, N. C. Sahu, Pl. No. 38288, P. Naik, Pl. No. 38287, B. Dandia,(S.C.), Pl. No.38289, and K. K. Mohanty, Pl. No. 38322 of SWPP department by the management of Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela as per the principles under which the 14 number of employees were granted promotional benefits to S-9 grade vide Order No. Pl. HRM/03, dated the 2nd January 2001 is legal and/or justified? If not, what relief the above named employees are entitled to?"

2. On notices being sent the representative of the management remained present whereas the representative of the workmen filed a petition stating that they do not want to proceed with case. So, it seems that either there is no dispute or they have settled their dispute amicably outside the court. Accordingly No Dispute Award is passed.

Dictated and corrected by me.

Smt. V. JAYASHREE 13-8-2014 Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela Smt. V. JAYASHREE 13-8-2014 Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Rourkela

By order of the Governor
M. NAYAK
Under-Secretary to Government

Printed and published by the Director, Directorate of Printing, Stationery and Publication, Odisha, Cuttack-10 Ex. Gaz. 909—173+11